UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/880,199	06/13/2001	Cornelis Theodorus Verrips	F7544(V)	6098	
201 7590 04/08/2008 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			EXAMINER		
			CHAWLA, JYOTI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/880,199	VERRIPS, CORNELIS THEODORUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JYOTI CHAWLA	1794	

	JYOTI CHAWLA	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 February 2008</u> . A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☒ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of			ne issues for				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6.12-14.19.21-25 and 27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea rand was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to the claims filed March 20, 2008 has not been entered as it raises new issues that would require new search and consideration.

Applicant's correction of the typographical errors in the amendment dated 3/20/2008 have been entered.

Two new claims (28 and 29) have been added after the final office action.

New claim 28 introduces a new limitation that the "the method of inactivation including a pasteurization step, whereas the previously examined claim 14, pasteurization was done to preserve the food product. Thus claim 28, as recited, requires new search and/or consideration.

Claim 29, (added after the final office action) also introduces a new limitation, whereby after the Lactobacilli are added to the food product, "no substantial fermentation of the food product will take place" which is different from the previously examined claim 24, which required no substantial fermentation of food product by the non-viable Lactobacilli, however did not exclude fermentation of the food product by bacterial species other than non-viable Lactobacillus. The new claim, as recited, requires new search and/or consideration as a method of making a food product that is not substantially fermented and which comprises non-viable probiotic Lactobacilli, was not recited in the previously examined and rejected claims.

The amendments dated March 20, 2008 as discussed above include additional limitations including two new independent claims which will require new search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' remarks filed February 21, 2008, concerning the new claims 28-29, have been considered have been responded above and also in the advisory action dated 3/18/2008.

Applicants' argument regarding Meister and Klaver have also been fully considered and have been responded in the previous office action (September 21, 2007). The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the previous office actions absent any new clear and convincing arguments or evidence to the contrary.